

Corrigendum: Quantifying expert consensus against the existence of a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program (2016 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11** 084011)

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2016 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11** 129501

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/11/12/129501>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 210.77.64.106

This content was downloaded on 11/04/2017 at 06:17

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[Quantifying expert consensus against the existence of a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program](#)

Christine Shearer, Mick West, Ken Caldeira et al.

Environmental Research Letters



CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum: Quantifying expert consensus against the existence of a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program (2016 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **11**084011)

OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED  
7 October 2016

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION  
21 October 2016

PUBLISHED  
22 November 2016

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

Christine Shearer<sup>1,2</sup>, Mick West<sup>3</sup>, Ken Caldeira<sup>4</sup> and Steven J Davis<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, Croul Hall, Irvine, CA 92697, USA

<sup>2</sup> Near Zero, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, CA 943053, USA


<sup>3</sup> Metabunk.org, Shingle Springs, CA 95682, USA

<sup>4</sup> Department of Global Ecology, Carnegie Institution for Science, Stanford, CA 94305, USA

Corrigendum

Unfortunately there was an error in figure 2. The number (*n*) for the pie charts (a)–(d) should have read

49—the number of respondents to the question of whether a secret, large-scale atmospheric spraying program was the most parsimonious explanation for the photos. Additionally, in figure 2(a), two of the blocks in



the bar chart had the same label 'Different combustion efficiency/engine'; the block for  $n = 5$  should have instead read 'Different aircraft sizes/types'. Figure 2 with the correct number and label is above. All other data remains the same. Original photos can be viewed at [www.ess.uci.edu/~sjdavis/SLAP/](http://www.ess.uci.edu/~sjdavis/SLAP/).

## Introduction

The first line should have read: 'In a recent international survey of 3105 people,' not 3015 people.

## Atmospheric deposition

The discussion of the third sample in the section on atmospheric deposition should have read: 'Twenty-three experts (82%) rejected the results as evidence of SLAP, while four (14%) did not know or were unsure.'

The Nguyen *et al* 1998 reference and citation should have read:

Minnis P, Young DF, Garber DP, Nguyen L, Smith WL Jr and Palikonda R 1998 Transformation of contrails into cirrus during SUCCESS *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **25** 1157–60