

River Corridor Closure Contract

100-F Area Target Analyte List Development for Soil

March 2010

For Public Release

Washington Closure Hanford

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office
Office of Assistant Manager for River Corridor



TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER _____

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

This report has been reproduced from the best available copy.

Printed in the United States of America

STANDARD APPROVAL PAGE

Title: 100-F Area Target Analyte List Development for Soil

Author Name: R. W. Ovink, Integration Project Task Lead

Approval: J. A. Lerch, Mission Completion

Signature

JUL 21

9/14/12

Date

The approval signature on this page indicates that this document has been authorized for information release to the public through appropriate channels. No other forms or signatures are required to document this information release.

**River Corridor
Closure Contract** 

100-F Area Target Analyte List Development for Soil

March 2010

Author:

R. W. Ovink

For Public Release

Washington Closure Hanford

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office
Office of Assistant Manager for River Corridor



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----|--|----|
| 1.0 | PURPOSE..... | 1 |
| 2.0 | APPROACH..... | 1 |
| 3.0 | ASSUMPTIONS | 3 |
| 4.0 | SOFTWARE CONSIDERATIONS | 4 |
| 5.0 | SOIL TARGET ANALYTE LIST DEVELOPMENT | 4 |
| 6.0 | CONCLUSIONS..... | 24 |
| 7.0 | REFERENCES..... | 24 |

APPENDIX

| | | |
|---|--|-----|
| A | 100-F Area Site-Specific Target Analyte Measles Chart..... | A-i |
|---|--|-----|

TABLES

| | | |
|----|---|----|
| 1. | Documents Used to Develop the 100-F Initial Target Analyte List..... | 4 |
| 2. | Summary of 100-F Initial Target Analytes and References..... | 9 |
| 3. | 100-F Initial Soil Analytes Excluded from Further Consideration..... | 11 |
| 4. | Master 100-F Target Analyte List..... | 11 |
| 5. | 116-F-14 Target Analytes, Analytical Methods, and Contract-Required Detection Limits..... | 17 |
| 6. | 118-F-1 Location Specific Target Analyte Lists..... | 19 |
| 7. | 118-F-8 Location Specific Target Analyte Lists..... | 21 |

1.0 PURPOSE

This report documents the process used to identify source area target analytes in support of the 100-F Area remedial investigation/feasibility study (RI/FS) addendum to DOE/RL-2008-46, *Integrated 100 Area Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study (RI/FS) Work Plan*. A "target analyte" is defined as a constituent suspected of being site-related that is carried into an investigation plan for characterization through sampling and analysis by approved laboratory methods. Target analytes identified for 100 and 300 Area must support RI/FS nature and extent characterization plus final remedial action decisions for source areas. This report also establishes the analyte exclusion criteria applicable for 100 and 300 Area use and the analytical methods needed to analyze the master target analytes.

2.0 APPROACH

The approach for development of vadose zone soil target analytes is a multi-step process. The first two steps develop an initial and master list of target analytes for the area. The third step is to develop location-specific (e.g., waste site) target analyte lists where additional characterization is proposed. Finally, the analyte list will receive regulatory review. During this step, concerns regarding the selection process may result in the addition of analytes by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Washington State Department of Ecology, and the U.S. Department of Energy (commonly called the Tri-Parties).

Step 1 – Prepare Initial Master Target Analyte

Characterization data for vadose zone soils are not available for addressing uncertainties associated with the nature and extent of contamination in the vadose zone. Therefore, remediation and characterization information (historic and current) are identified and reviewed to develop an initial list of target analytes to represent potential contamination in the vadose zone. The following types of reference documents and information sources are evaluated:

- Focused feasibility studies (FFS), limited field investigation (LFI) reports
- Interim action records of decision (IARODs)
- Cleanup verification documents (cleanup verification packages [CVPs], remaining sites verification packages [RSVPs])
- Technical baseline reports
- Dangerous waste permit applications
- Databases containing analytical data resulting from these activities (i.e., characterization, remediation, waste management information)
- Other pertinent documents.

Step 2 – Prepare Master Target Analyte List

After the initial target analyte list is compiled, the information undergoes additional review steps to remove analytes using generally accepted exclusion criteria, conduct a comparison of the soil target analyte list to the groundwater COPC list, and identify the appropriate analytical methods and detection limits for the master target analyte list.

At the conclusion of this step, the master target analyte list is established. The master target analyte list is comprehensive and includes all the analytes that have the potential to be present in the vadose zone and are important for waste site remediation within the area. The following steps are taken to prepare the master target analyte list:

- Apply the following generally-accepted exclusion criteria that are listed below to the initial set of target analytes to develop the "master" target analyte list.
 - Radionuclides with a half-life of 3 years (and no significant daughters) will be eliminated as COPCs. Radionuclides with short half-lives can include antimony-125, beryllium-7, cesium-134, curium-242, radium-224, ruthenium-106, and thorium-228.
 - Naturally occurring radionuclides associated with background radiation (e.g., K-40, Th-230, Th-232, and Ra-226)
 - Essential nutrients are those chemicals considered essential for human nutrition. Recommended daily allowances are developed for essential nutrients to estimate safe and adequate daily dietary intakes (NRC, 1989, *Recommended Daily Allowances*). The following metals are considered essential nutrients: calcium, magnesium, potassium, and sodium.
 - Analytes that have no toxicity values (based on the hierarchy of toxicity values recommended by the EPA in Human Health Toxicity Values for Superfund Risk Assessments [OSWER Directive 9285.7-53]).
- Compare the master target analyte list for vadose zone soil with the groundwater COPC list developed for the area. Groundwater COPCs *not* found on the master target analyte list are further evaluated to determine if there is a valid basis for their inclusion.
- Identify appropriate analytical methods for each analyte on the master target analyte list. Determine if the detection limits for each target analyte can achieve the remedial action goals for direct exposure, groundwater protection, and Columbia River protection.

Step 3 – Develop Location-Specific Target Analyte List

The master target analyte list represents all potential target analytes that could be present in the vadose zone. Location-specific target analytes will be identified from the master list using the following approach.

- Identify the contaminants of concern for the specific waste sites where characterization is proposed from the applicable interim action ROD (which reflects information from LFI and technical baseline reports). If the characterization location is not at a waste site, evaluate

information from waste sites in the vicinity (where available). Include these analytes on the location specific target analyte list.

- Identify the contaminants of concern for the specific waste site locations from the verification documentation (CVPs or RSVPs). If the characterization location is not at a waste site, evaluate information from waste sites in the vicinity (where available). Include these analytes on the location-specific target analyte list.
- Evaluate local groundwater monitoring well data (wells located within waste site "zones of influence"). Determine if groundwater COPCs have been analyzed for in these local wells.
 - If the groundwater COPCs have been analyzed for but not detected, then these analytes will not be included on the location-specific target analyte list.
 - If the groundwater COPCs have been analyzed for and have been detected, then these analytes will be included on the location-specific target analyte list.
 - If the groundwater COPCs have not been analyzed for, then an additional evaluation will be performed to determine if there is a data need. If there is a data need, these COPCs will be included on the waste-site specific target analyte list.

Step 4 – Agency Review of Locations and Location-Specific Target Analyte Lists

Following development of the master and location-specific target analyte lists via Steps 1, 2, and 3, the regulatory agencies will review the proposed sampling locations and their associated location-specific target analyte lists to determine if adjustments/modifications are required to address additional information needs for the area. When additional information needs are identified, the regulatory agencies will modify the locations and/or the location-specific target analyte lists to reflect the additions/modifications needed for the area.

3.0 ASSUMPTIONS

- Historical resources (e.g., LFI, qualitative risk assessment, and CVP/RSVP documents) contain contaminant lists that are comprehensive with respect to characterizing environmental impacts from 100 and 300 Area Hanford Site operations.
- Older analytical data (e.g., pre-*Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980* [CERCLA]) reflect laboratory state-of-the-art procedures. Analytical methods have improved, resulting in lower detection limits for many analytes and better data quality assurance/quality control.
- Characterization activities implemented since initiating remediation under the IARODs may provide additional contaminant information that should be considered during pending RI/FS field investigations.

- Post-remediation characterization and cleanup verification data reflect focused lists of analytes that are unique to each waste site and have been evaluated against IAROD cleanup requirements.
- Examining existing data and waste site process information will be useful in developing laboratory analytical needs for RI/FS characterization tasks.
- Universally-accepted exclusion criteria may be applied to the initial target analyte list to develop a “master” target analyte list.
- Additional exclusion criteria (e.g., statistical Hanford Site background comparisons, infrequently detected analytes, and analytes not detected at concentrations/activities exceeding required cleanup levels) may be applied during the RI/FS process as more data become available.

4.0 SOFTWARE CONSIDERATIONS

No statistical or algebraic calculations were performed for this activity. The evaluations conducted included analyte comparisons/sorting using Microsoft® Excel®.

5.0 SOIL TARGET ANALYTE LIST DEVELOPMENT

Initial Target Analyte Identification

1. The documents listed in Table 1 were used to develop the 100-F target analyte list.

Table 1. Documents Used to Develop the 100-F Initial Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Reference | Document Number | Document Type |
|---|-----------------|---------------|
| 1. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-2 Strontium Garden | CVP-2001-00001 | CVP |
| 2. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-19:1 and 100-F-19:3 Reactor Cooling Water Effluent Pipelines, 100-F-34 Biology Facility French Drain, and 116-F-12 French Drain | CVP-2001-00002 | CVP |
| 3. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-19:2 Reactor Cooling Water Effluent Pipelines, 116-F-11 Cushion Corridor French Drain, UPR-100-F-1 Sewer Line Leak, and 100-F-29 Experimental Animal Farm Process Sewer Pipelines | CVP-2001-00003 | CVP |

[®] Microsoft and Excel are registered trademarks of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and/or other countries.

Table 1. Documents Used to Develop the 100-F Initial Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Reference | Document Number | Document Type |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| 4. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-2, 107-F Liquid Waste Disposal Trench | CVP-2001-00005 | CVP |
| 5. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-4 Pluto Crib | CVP-2001-00006 | CVP |
| 6. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-5 Ball Washer Crib | CVP-2001-00007 | CVP |
| 7. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-9 Animal Waste Leaching Trench | CVP-2001-00008 | CVP |
| 8. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-14 Retention Basin | CVP-2001-00009 | CVP |
| 9. Cleanup Verification Package for the 1607-F6 Septic System and Pipelines | CVP-2001-00010 | CVP |
| 10. Cleanup Verification Package for the UPR-100-F-2 Basin Leak Ditch | CVP-2001-00011 | CVP |
| 11. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-4, 100-F-11, 100-F-15, and 100-F-16 French Drains | CVP-2002-00001 | CVP |
| 12. Cleanup Verification Package for the 126-F-1, 184-F Powerhouse Ash Pit | CVP-2002-00004 | CVP |
| 13. Cleanup Verification Package for the 1607-F2 Septic System | CVP-2002-00005 | CVP |
| 14. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-35 Soil Contamination Site | CVP-2002-00007 | CVP |
| 15. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-3 Fuel Storage Basin Trench | CVP-2002-00008 | CVP |
| 16. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-1 Lewis Canal | CVP-2002-00009 | CVP |
| 17. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-6 Liquid Waste Disposal Trench | CVP-2002-00010 | CVP |
| 18. Cleanup Verification Package for the 116-F-10, 105-F Dummy Decontamination French Drain | CVP-2003-00003 | CVP |
| 19. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-25, 146-FR Drywell | CVP-2003-00010 | CVP |
| 20. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-23, 141-C Drywell | CVP-2003-00011 | CVP |
| 21. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-24, 145-F Drywell | CVP-2003-00012 | CVP |
| 22. Cleanup Verification Package for the 118-F-8:1, 105-F Reactor Below-Grade Structures and Underlying Soils; the 118-F-8:3, 105-F Fuel Storage Basin Underlying Soils; and the 100-F-10 French Drain | CVP-2003-00017 | CVP |
| 23. Cleanup Verification Package for the 118-F-7, 100-F Miscellaneous Hardware Storage Vault | CVP-2006-00007 | CVP |
| 24. Cleanup Verification Package for the 118-F-3, Minor Construction Burial Ground | CVP-2006-00008 | CVP |

Table 1. Documents Used to Develop the 100-F Initial Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Reference | Document Number | Document Type |
|---|--------------------|---------------|
| 25. Cleanup Verification Package for the 100-F-20, Pacific Northwest Laboratory Parallel Pit | CVP-2006-00009 | CVP |
| 26. Cleanup Verification Package for the 188-F-1 Burial Ground | CVP-2007-00001 | CVP |
| 27. Cleanup Verification Package for the 118-F-2 Burial Ground | CVP-2007-00002 | CVP |
| 28. Cleanup Verification Package for the 118-F-5 PNL Sawdust Pit | CVP-2007-00003 | CVP |
| 29. Cleanup Verification Package for the 118-F-8:4 Fuel Storage Basin West Side Adjacent and Side Slope Soils | CVP-2007-00004 | CVP |
| 30. Cleanup Verification Package for the 118-F-6 Burial Ground | CVP-2008-00001 | CVP |
| 31. EPA, 1999, <i>Interim Action Record of Decision for the 100-BC-1, 100-BC-2, 100-DR-1, 100-DR-2, 100-FR-1, 100-FR-2, 100-HR-1, 100-HR-2, 100-KR-1, 100-KR-2, 100-IU-2, 100-IU-6, and 200-CW-3 Operable Units</i> | EPA/ROD/R10-99/039 | IAROD |
| 32. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-28, January 2003 | WSRF-2001-030 | WSRF |
| 33. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 132-F-4, December 2003 | WSRF-2003-023 | WSRF |
| 34. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 132-F-3, December 2003 | WSRF-2003-025 | WSRF |
| 35. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 132-F-5, December 2003 | WSRF-2003-029 | WSRF |
| 36. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 132-F-6, December 2003 | WSRF-2003-032 | WSRF |
| 37. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 128-F-1, December 2003 | WSRF-2003-035 | WSRF |
| 38. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-38, March 2006 | WSRF-2004-093 | WSRF |
| 39. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-37, August 2004 | WSRF-2004-095 | WSRF |
| 40. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:3 Pipelines, December 2004 | WSRF-2004-118 | WSRF |
| 41. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:6 Pipelines, December 2004 | WSRF-2004-119 | WSRF |
| 42. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:16 Pipelines, November 2005 | WSRF-2004-120 | WSRF |
| 43. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-7, February 2005 | WSRF-2004-124 | WSRF |
| 44. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-9, February 2005 | WSRF-2004-125 | WSRF |

Table 1. Documents Used to Develop the 100-F Initial Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Reference | Document Number | Document Type |
|--|-----------------|---------------|
| 45. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-12, February 2005 | WSRF-2004-126 | WSRF |
| 46. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-14, March 2005 | WSRF-2004-127 | WSRF |
| 47. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 116-F-7:1, February 2005 | WSRF-2004-128 | WSRF |
| 48. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 118-F-4, February 2005 | WSRF-2004-129 | WSRF |
| 49. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 1607-F1, January 2008 | WSRF-2004-130, | WSRF |
| 50. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 1607-F4, December 2007 | WSRF-2004-131 | WSRF |
| 51. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-18, February 2005 | WSRF-2004-137 | WSRF |
| 52. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:11 Pipelines, May 2005 | WSRF-2005-003 | WSRF |
| 53. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:2 Pipelines, May 2005 | WSRF-2005-005 | WSRF |
| 54. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:5 Pipelines, July 2005 | WSRF-2005-007 | WSRF |
| 55. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:1 Pipelines, July 2005 | WSRF-2005-008 | WSRF |
| 56. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:7 Pipelines, May 2005 | WSRF-2005-010 | WSRF |
| 57. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:13 Pipelines, March 2008 | WSRF-2005-011 | WSRF |
| 58. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 182-F, September 2005 | WSRF-2005-025 | WSRF |
| 59. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 132-F-4:2, November 2005 | WSRF-2005-043 | WSRF |
| 60. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 116-F-7:2, November 2005 | WSRF-2005-044 | WSRF |
| 61. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 126-F-2, May 2006 | WSRF-2006-017 | WSRF |
| 62. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-33, August 2006 | WSRF-2006-021 | WSRF |
| 63. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 141-C, May 2006 | WSRF-2006-027 | WSRF |
| 64. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 132-F-1, August 2006 | WSRF-2006-029 | WSRF |
| 65. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-31, August 2006 | WSRF-2006-033 | WSRF |
| 66. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 116-F-8, September 2006 | WSRF-2006-038 | WSRF |

Table 1. Documents Used to Develop the 100-F Initial Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Reference | Document Number | Document Type |
|---|-----------------|---------------|
| 67. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 116-F-16, September 2006 | WSRF-2006-039 | WSRF |
| 68. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 1607-F7, October 2006 | WSRF-2006-040 | WSRF |
| 69. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 128-F-3, October 2006 | WSRF-2006-042 | WSRF |
| 70. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 1607-F5, September 2006 | WSRF-2006-043 | WSRF |
| 71. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 1607-F3, April 2007 | WSRF-2006-047 | WSRF |
| 72. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-41, February 2007 | WSRF-2006-064 | WSRF |
| 73. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-50, April 2008 | WSRF-2007-001 | WSRF |
| 74. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-36, May 2007 | WSRF-2007-002 | WSRF |
| 75. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 116-F-15, May 2007 | WSRF-2007-003 | WSRF |
| 76. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-44:1, April 2007 | WSRF-2007-005 | WSRF |
| 77. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-44:6, April 2007 | WSRF-2007-007 | WSRF |
| 78. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-44:3, June 2007 | WSRF-2007-010 | WSRF |
| 79. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-44:10, October 2007 | WSRF-2007-011 | WSRF |
| 80. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-44:7, August 2007 | WSRF-2007-012 | WSRF |
| 81. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:10 Pipelines, December 2007 | WSRF-2007-028 | WSRF |
| 82. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-26:14 Pipelines, February 2008 | WSRF-2007-029 | WSRF |
| 83. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 100-F-53, June 2009 | WSRF-2008-019 | WSRF |
| 84. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 120-F-1, May 2008 | WSRF-2008-028 | WSRF |
| 85. Waste Site Reclassification Form for 128-F-2, June 2008 | WSRF-2008-031 | WSRF |
| 86. Limited Field Investigation Report for the 100-FR-1 Operable Unit | DOE/RL-93-82 | LFI |

CVP = cleanup verification package

RSVP = remaining sites verification package

FFS = focused feasibility study

WIDS = Waste Information Data System

IAROD = Interim Action Record of Decision

WSRF = waste site reclassification form

LFI = limited field investigation

2. The initial list of target analytes presented in Table 2 was created from the review and evaluation of the Table 1 documents.

Table 2. Summary of 100-F Initial Target Analytes and References. (2 Pages)

| Analyte | Reference | Analyte | Reference |
|-------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Radionuclides | | | |
| 1. Americium-241 | CVP-2007-00001 | 12. Potassium-40 | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 2. Barium-133 | CVP-2003-00017 | 13. Radium-226 | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 3. Carbon-14 | CVP-2007-00001 | 14. Silver-108m | CVP-2007-00001 |
| 4. Cesium-137 | CVP-2002-00004 | 15. Strontium-90 | CVP-2007-00001 |
| 5. Cobalt-60 | CVP-2002-00004 | 16. Technetium-99 | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 6. Europium-152 | CVP-2002-00004 | 17. Thorium-228 | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 7. Europium-154 | CVP-2002-00004 | 18. Thorium-232 | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 8. Europium-155 | CVP-2002-00004 | 19. Tritium | CVP-2007-00001 |
| 9. Nickel-63 | CVP-2007-00001 | 20. Uranium-233/234 | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 10. Plutonium-238 | CVP-2007-00001 | 21. Uranium-235 | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 11. Plutonium-239/240 | CVP-2007-00001 | 22. Uranium-238 | CVP-2003-00017 |
| Nonradionuclides | | | |
| 1. 2-butanone | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) | 43. Cobalt | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 2. 2-hexanone | RSVP-2006-042 | 44. Copper | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 3. 2-methyl-naphthalene | WSRF-2006-021 | 45. Dalapon | WSRF-2007-001 |
| 4. 4,4'-DDD | RSVP-2006-042 | 46. Dibenz(a,h)-anthracene | RSVP-2008-028 |
| 5. 4,4'-DDE | RSVP-2006-042 | 47. Dibenzofuran | WSRF-2006-029 |
| 6. 4,4'-DDT | RSVP-2006-042 | 48. Diethyl phthalate | WSRF-2008-031 |
| 7. 4-methyl-2-pentanone | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) | 49. Dimethyl phthalate | WSRF-2008-031 |
| 8. Acenaphthene | WSRF-2006-017 | 50. Di-n-butylphthalate | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 9. Acetone | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) | 51. Endosulfan I | RSVP-2008-028 |
| 10. Aldrin | RSVP-2006-042 | 52. Endosulfan sulfate | RSVP-2006-042 |
| 11. Anthracene | RSVP-2008-028 | 53. Endrin aldehyde | WSRF-2004-131 |
| 12. Antimony | RSVP-2008-028 | 54. Endrin ketone | RSVP-2006-042 |
| 13. Aroclor-1016 (PCB) | CVP-2007-00004 | 55. Ethylbenzene | RSVP-2006-042 |
| 14. Aroclor-1221(PCB) | CVP-2007-00004 | 56. Fluoranthene | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 15. Aroclor-1232(PCB) | CVP-2007-00004 | 57. Fluorene | WSRF-2006-017 |
| 16. Aroclor-1242(PCB) | CVP-2007-00004 | 58. Fluoride | RSVP-2008-028 |
| 17. Aroclor-1248(PCB) | CVP-2007-00004 | 59. Heptachlor epoxide | RSVP-2006-042 |
| 18. Aroclor-1254 (PCB) | CVP-2007-00004 | 60. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | WSRF-2006-017 |
| 19. Aroclor-1260 (PCB) | CVP-2007-00004 | 61. Lead | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 20. Arsenic | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) | 62. Manganese | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 21. Barium | CVP-2003-00017 | 63. Mercury | WSRF-2006-021 |
| 22. Benzo(a) pyrene | CVP-2003-00017 | 64. Methoxychlor | RSVP-2006-042 |

Table 2. Summary of 100-F Initial Target Analytes and References. (2 Pages)

| Analyte | Reference | Analyte | Reference |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------|
| 23. Benzo(a)anthracene | CVP-2003-00017 | 65. Methylene chloride | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 24. Benzo(b) fluoranthene | CVP-2003-00017 | 66. Molybdenum | WSRF-2006-021 |
| 25. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | CVP-2003-00017 | 67. Napthalene | WSRF-2006-021 |
| 26. Benzo(k) fluoranthene | CVP-2003-00017 | 68. Nickel | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 27. Beryllium | CVP-2003-00017 | 69. Nitrate | WSRF-2008-028 |
| 28. BHC-Alpha | WSRF-2008-028 | 70. Phenanthrene | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 29. BHC-Beta | WSRF-2008-028 | 71. Phenol | WSRF-2006-021 |
| 30. Bis(2-ethylhexyl phthalate) | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) | 72. Pyrene | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 31. Boron | CVP-2003-00017 | 73. Selenium | WSRF-2006-017 |
| 32. Butyl benzyl phthalate | WSRF-2006-040 | 74. Silver | WSRF-2004-130 |
| 33. Cadmium | CVP-2003-00017 | 75. Styrene | RSVP-2006-042 |
| 34. Carbazole | WSRF-2008-031 | 76. Sulfate | RSVP-2008-028 |
| 35. Chlordane- Alpha | RSVP-2008-028 | 77. Tetrachloroethene | RSVP-2006-042 |
| 36. Chlordane-Gamma | RSVP-2008-028 | 78. Toluene | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 37. Chloride | WSRF-2008-028 | 79. Total petroleum hydrocarbon | WSRF-2006-017 |
| 38. Chlorobenzene | RSVP-2006-042 | 80. Toxaphene | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 39. Chloroform | RSVP-2006-042 | 81. Vanadium | CVP-2003-00017 |
| 40. Chromium (Hexavalent) | CVP-2003-00017 | 82. Xylene | RSVP-2006-042 |
| 41. Chromium (Total) | CVP-2003-00017 | 83. Zinc | DOE/RL-93-82 (LFI) |
| 42. Chrysene | CVP-2003-00017 | | |

NOTE: The primary references are listed for each analyte; most analytes were referenced in multiple documents.

CVP = cleanup verification package

COPC = contaminant of potential concern

LFI = limited field investigation

3. The generally accepted exclusion criteria that follow were applied to the initial soil target analyte list to identify the excluded analytes listed in Table 3 and to develop the master target analyte list presented in Table 4.

- Radionuclides with half-lives less than 3 years (and no significant “daughters”)
- Naturally occurring radionuclides associated with background radiation
- Essential nutrients (minerals)
- Analytes that have no toxicity values (per the most current CLARC Table).

Table 3. 100-F Initial Soil Analytes Excluded from Further Consideration.

| Analyte | Exclusion Rationale | Half-life |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------|
| <i>Radionuclides</i> | | |
| 1. Potassium-40 | Naturally-occurring background radiation | 1.28 E9 years |
| 2. Thorium-228 | Decay daughter of Th-232/Ra-228; in equilibrium with parent | 1.91 years |
| 3. Radium-226 | Only potential source from naturally-occurring background radiation (insufficient in-growth time for Hanford introduced U as decay daughter of U-234/Th-230) | 1.6 E3 years |
| 4. Thorium-232 | Naturally-occurring background radiation | 1.4 E10 years |
| <i>Nonradionuclides</i> | | |
| 5. Chloride | Essential nutrient | |
| 6. Sulfate | Essential nutrient | |

Table 4. Master 100-F Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs) | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| <i>Radionuclides</i> | | | | | |
| 1. Cesium-137 | 0.1 | 6.2 | NV | NV | 1. Gamma energy analysis |
| 2. Cobalt-60 | 0.05 | 1.4 | NV | NV | |
| 3. Europium-152 | 0.1 | 3.3 | NV | NV | |
| 4. Europium-154 | 0.1 | 3.0 | NV | NV | |
| 5. Europium-155 | 0.1 | 125 | NV | NV | |
| 6. Americium-241 | 1 | 31.1 | NV | NV | |
| 7. Barium-133 | 0.2 | 11.8 | NV | NV | |
| 8. Silver-108m | 0.2 | 2.38 | NV | NV | |
| 9. Strontium-90* | 1 | 4.5 | NV | NV | 2. Gas flow proportional counting |
| 10. Plutonium-238 | 1 | 37.4 | NV | NV | 3. Isotopic - plutonium |
| 11. Plutonium-239/240 | 1 | 33.9 | NV | NV | |
| 12. Uranium-233/234 | 1 | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | |
| 13. Uranium-235 | 1 | 0.61 | 0.185 ^d | 0.185 ^d | 4. Isotopic - uranium |
| 14. Uranium-238 | 1 | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | |

Table 4. Master 100-F Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs) | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 15. Carbon-14 | 2 | 5.16 | NV | NV | 5. Liquid scintillation counter |
| 16. Nickel-63 | 30 | 4,026 | NV | NV | |
| 17. Technetium-99 | 0.25 | 5.7 | 0.46 | 0.46 | |
| 18. Tritium* | 10 | 510 | 15.8 | 15.8 | |
| Nonradionuclides | | | | | |
| 19. Fluoride* | 5 | 4,800 | 12,000 | 24,000 | 6. Anions by IC 300.0 |
| 20. Nitrate* | 2.5 | 128,000 | 40 | 80 | |
| 21. Chromium (hexavalent)* | 0.5 | 240 | 18.4 | 7.7 | 7. Cr VI 7196 |
| 22. Antimony* | 6 | 32 | 5.4 | 25.3 | 8. EPA 6010 (ICP metals) |
| 23. Arsenic* | 10 | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | |
| 24. Barium | 2 | 16,000 | 1,650 | 3,300 | |
| 25. Beryllium* | 0.5 | 160 | 63.2 | 126 | |
| 26. Boron | 2 | 16,000 | 210 | NV | |
| 27. Cadmium* | 0.5 | 80 | 0.69 | 0.25 ^d | |
| 28. Chromium (total)* | 1 | 120,000 | 2,000 | 2,600 | |
| 29. Cobalt* | 2 | 24 | 15.7 ^d | NV | |
| 30. Copper* | 1 | 3,200 | 284 | 1,150 | |
| 31. Lead* | 5 | 250 | 3,000 | 840 | |
| 32. Manganese* | 5 | 3,760 | 512 ^c | 512 ^c | |
| 33. Molybdenum | 2 | 400 | 32.3 | NV | |
| 34. Nickel* | 4 | 1,600 | 130 | 357 | |
| 35. Selenium* | 10 | 400 | 5.2 ^d | 1.04 ^d | |
| 36. Silver | 1 | 400 | 13.6 | 0.884 | |
| 37. Thallium (GW COPC) | 5 | 5.6 | 1.59 | 4.46 | |
| 38. Vanadium | 2.5 | 560 | 2,240 | NV | |
| 39. Zinc* | 1 | 24,000 | 5,970 | 226 | |
| 40. Mercury* | 0.2 | 24 | 2.09 | 0.33 ^b | 9. EPA 7471 (Hg cold vapor) |

Table 4. Master 100-F Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs) | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 41. Aroclor-1016 (PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0942 | 0.000447 ^d | 10. EPA 8082 (PCB by GC) |
| 42. Aroclor-1221(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.00920 ^d | 0.0000437 ^d | |
| 43. Aroclor-1232(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.00920 ^d | 0.0000437 ^d | |
| 44. Aroclor-1242(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0394 | 0.000187 ^d | |
| 45. Aroclor-1248(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0386 | 0.000183 ^d | |
| 46. Aroclor-1254 (PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0664 | 0.000315 ^d | |
| 47. Aroclor-1260 (PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.721 | 0.00342 ^d | |
| 48. 2-methylnaphthalene | 0.33 | 320 | 2.03 | 4.07 | 11. EPA-8270 (Semi-volatiles) |
| 49. Carbazole | 0.33 | 50 | 0.314 ^d | NV | |
| 50. Dibenzofuran | 0.33 | 160 | 7.36 | NV | |
| 51. Phthalate (butyl benzyl) | 0.33 | 16,000 | 893 | 698 | |
| 52. Phthalate (bis 2-ethylhexyl) | 0.33 | 71.4 | 13.9 | 8.01 | |
| 53. Phthalate (di-ethyl) | 0.33 | 64,000 | 72.2 | 259 | |
| 54. Phthalate (di-methyl) | 0.33 | 80,000 | 75.9 | 683 | |
| 55. Phthalate (di-n-butyl) | 0.33 | 8,000 | 56.5 | 191 | 12. EPA-8260 (Volatile organics) |
| 56. Phenol | 0.33 | 24,000 | 11 | 192 | |
| 57. 1,1-Dichloroethylene (GW COPC) | 0.01 | 1.67 | 0.0005 | 0.0008 | |
| 58. 2-butanone | 0.01 | 48,000 | 19.6 | NV | |
| 59. 2-hexanone | 0.02 | 3,200 | 2.73 | NV | |
| 60. 4-methyl-2-pentanone | 0.01 | 6400 | 2.71 | NV | |
| 61. Acetone | 0.02 | 72,000 | 28.9 | NV | |
| 62. Carbon Tetrachloride (GW COPC) | 0.005 | 7.69 | 0.031 | 0.0046 ^c | |
| 63. Chlorobenzene | 0.005 | 1,600 | 0.874 | 11.9 | |
| 64. Chloroform* | 0.005 | 164 | 0.038 | 0.0607 | |
| 65. Ethylbenzene | 0.005 | 8,000 | 6.05 | 53.6 | |
| 66. Methylene chloride | 0.005 | 133 | 0.0218 | 0.0409 | |
| 67. Styrene* | 0.005 | 33.3 | 0.0328 | NV | |
| 68. Tetrachloroethylene* | 0.005 | 800 | 0.008 | 0.008 | |

Table 4. Master 100-F Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs) | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 69. Trichloroethene (GW COPC) | 0.005 | 11.2 | 0.00323 ^d | 0.0355 | 13. EPA-8310 (PAH) |
| 70. Toluene | 0.005 | NV | 4.65 | 99 | |
| 71. Vinyl Chloride (GW COPC) | 0.001 | 87.5 | 0.00018 ^c | 0.0252 | |
| 72. Xylene | 0.01 | 16,000 | 14.6 | 183 | |
| 73. Benzo(a)pyrene | 0.015 | 0.137 | 2.33 | 0.109 | |
| 74. Chrysene | 0.1 | 13.7 | 9.56 | 0.0446 ^d | |
| 75. Fluorene | 0.03 | 3,200 | 101 | 411 | |
| 76. Indeno(1,2,3-cd)pyrene | 0.03 | 1.37 | 8.33 | 0.389 | |
| 77. Acenaphthene | 0.1 | 4,800 | 97.9 | 131 | |
| 78. Anthracene | 0.05 | 24,000 | 1,140 | 9,100 | |
| 79. Benzo(a)anthracene | 0.015 | 1.37 | 0.856 | 0.04 | |
| 80. Benzo(b) fluoranthene | 0.015 | 1.37 | 2.95 | 0.138 | |
| 81. Benzo(g,h,i)perylene | 0.03 | 2,400 | 25,700 | 7,070 | |
| 82. Benzo(k) fluoranthene | 0.015 | 1.37 | 21.5 | 0.138 | |
| 83. Dibenz(a,h)anthracene | 0.03 | 1.37 | 4.29 | 0.2 | |
| 84. Fluoranthene | 0.05 | 3,200 | 631 | 178 | |
| 85. Naphthalene | 0.1 | 1,600 | 4.46 | 275 | |
| 86. Phenanthrene | 0.05 | 24,000 | 1,140 | 9100 | |
| 87. Pyrene | 0.05 | 2,400 | 655 | 2620 | |
| 88. Dalapon | 0.1 | 2,400 | 0.811 | 1.62 | 14. EPA-8151 (Herbicides) |
| 89. BHC-Alpha | 0.00165 | 0.159 | 0.000545 ^d | 0.0006 ^d | 15. EPA-8081 (Pesticides) |
| 90. Heptachlor epoxide | 0.00165 | 0.11 | 0.008 | 0.002 ^d | |
| 91. 4,4'-DDD | 0.0033 | 4.17 | 0.335 | 0.000464 ^d | |
| 92. 4,4'-DDE | 0.0033 | 2.94 | 0.446 | 0.00123 ^d | |
| 93. 4,4'-DDT | 0.0033 | 2.94 | 3.49 | 0.00965 | |
| 94. Aldrin | 0.00165 | 0.0588 | 0.005 | 0.00016 ^d | |
| 95. Chlordane (alpha, gamma) | 0.0165 | 2.86 | 2.06 | 0.00117 ^d | |
| 96. BHC- beta | 0.00165 | 0.556 | 0.00227 | 0.00259 | |

Table 4. Master 100-F Target Analyte List. (5 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs) | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 97. Endosulfan I | 0.00165 | 480 | 4.3 | 0.0833 | |
| 98. Endosulfan sulfate | 0.0033 | 480 | 4.3 | 0.0833 | |
| 99. Endrin aldehyde | 0.0033 | 24 | 0.44 | 0.335 | |
| 100. Endrin ketone | 0.0033 | 24 | 0.44 | 0.335 | |
| 101. Methoxychlor | 0.0165 | 400 | 64.2 | 26.8 | |
| 102. Toxaphene | 0.165 | 0.909 | 0.153 ^d | 0.00173 ^d | |
| 103. Petroleum Hydrocarbons | 5 | 2,000 | 2,000 | NV | 16. WTPH-D+ |

NOTE: Analytes in *italics* were added GW COPCs.

* Soil target analyte is also a GW COPC.

^a Units are mg/kg (nonradionuclides) and pCi/g (radionuclides) unless otherwise noted. Cleanup levels are established in the most current CLARC Table (updated April 22, 2009) calculated per WAC-173-340 (Ecology 2007) using input parameters stated in the CLARC Table.

^b Where cleanup levels are less than background, cleanup levels default to background as discussed in Sec. 2.1.2.1 of the 100 Area RDR/RAWP (DOE-RL-96-17).

^c The arsenic cleanup level of 20 mg/kg has been agreed to by the Tri-Party Agreement project managers as discussed in Sec. 2.1.2.1 of the 100 Area Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan (DOE-RL-96-17).

^d Where cleanup levels are less than PQLs, cleanup levels default to PQLs as discussed in Sec. 2.1.2.1 of the 100 Area Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan (DOE-RL-96-17). The PQLs will be used for working levels, and will be periodically reviewed to establish if lower detection limit capabilities have become available.

Reference: Ecology, 2007, "Model Toxics Control Act Statute and Regulation," Publication No. 94-06, revised November 2007, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington.

AEA = alpha energy analysis

KPA = kinetic phosphorescence analysis

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

PAH = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

GC = gas chromatograph

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

GW COPC = groundwater contaminant of potential concern

PQL = practical quantitation limits

IC = ion chromatography

WTPH = Washington total petroleum hydrocarbon

ICP = inductively coupled plasma

NV = No value. The generic RESidual RADioactivity modeling reported in the DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area* predicts the contaminant will not reach groundwater within 1,000 years.

4. This step reconciles the master soil target analytes with the groundwater COPCs developed for the area. Groundwater COPCs *not* found on the master soils list are further evaluated. The default action is to include all groundwater COPCs on the master soil target analyte list, unless there is a valid basis for their exclusion. The analytes added to Table 4 that are groundwater COPCs are presented in *italics* and labeled "GW COPC".
5. The appropriate analytical methods for the master target analytes, taking into account action levels and detection limits, are presented in Table 4.

Location-Specific Target Analyte Identification

1. Identify the contaminants of concern for the specific waste sites where characterization is proposed from the applicable interim action ROD (which reflects information from LFI and technical baseline reports). If the characterization location is not at a waste site, evaluate information from waste sites in the vicinity (where available). Include these analytes on the location specific target analyte list (Tables 5 through 7).
2. Identify the contaminants of concern for the specific waste site locations from the verification documentation (CVPs or RSVPs). If the characterization location is not at a waste site, evaluate information from waste sites in the vicinity (where available). Include these analytes on the location specific target analyte list (Tables 5 through 7).
3. Evaluate local groundwater monitoring well data (wells located within waste site "zones of influence"). Determine if groundwater COPCs have been analyzed for in these wells.
 - a. If the groundwater COPCs have been analyzed for but not detected, then these analytes will not be included on the location specific target analyte list.
 - b. If the groundwater COPCs have been analyzed for and have been detected, then these analytes are included on the location specific target analyte list.
 - c. If the groundwater COPCs have not been analyzed for, then an additional evaluation will be performed to determine if there is a data need. If there is a data need, these COPCs are included on the location specific target analyte list.

The following location-specific target analyte tables present the final results of Step 3 (development of location-specific target analyte list) and Step 4 (regulatory agency review of characterization location and location-specific target analyte list).

Table 5. 116-F-14 Target Analytes, Analytical Methods, and Contract-Required Detection Limits. (2 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits ^a | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|-------------------------|--|--|------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| Radionuclides | | | | | |
| 1. Cesium-137* | 0.1 | 6.2 | NV | NV | 1. Gamma energy analysis |
| 2. Cobalt-60 | 0.05 | 1.4 | NV | NV | |
| 3. Europium-152 | 0.1 | 3.3 | NV | NV | |
| 4. Europium-154* | 0.1 | 3.0 | NV | NV | |
| 5. Europium-155 | 0.1 | 125 | NV | NV | |
| 6. Carbon-14* | 2 | 5.16 | NV | NV | 2. Liquid scintillation counter |
| 7. Nickel-63* | 30 | 4,026 | NV | NV | |
| 8. Technetium-99 | 0.25 | 5.7 | 0.46 | 0.46 | |
| 9. Tritium | 10 | 510 | 15.8 | 15.8 | |
| 10. Strontium-90* | 1 | 4.5 | NV | NV | 3. Gas flow proportional counting |
| 11. Plutonium-238 | 1 | 37.4 | NV | NV | 4. Isotopic - plutonium |
| Nonradionuclides | | | | | |
| 12. Fluoride | 5 | 4,800 | 12,000 | 24,000 | 5. Anions by IC 300.0 |
| 13. Nitrate | 2.5 | 128,000 | 40 | 80 | |
| 14. Antimony | 6 | 32 | 5.4 | 25.3 | 6. EPA 6010 (ICP metal) |
| 15. Arsenic | 10 | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | |
| 16. Barium | 2 | 16,000 | 1,650 | 3,300 | |
| 17. Boron | 2 | 16,000 | 210 | NV | |
| 18. Cobalt | 2 | 24 | 15.7 ^b | NV | |
| 19. Chromium (total)* | 1 | 120,000 | 2,000 | 2,600 | |
| 20. Copper | 1 | 3,200 | 284 | 1,150 | |
| 21. Lead | 5 | 250 | 3,000 | 840 | |
| 22. Manganese | 5 | 3,760 | 512 ^c | 512 ^c | |
| 23. Molybdenum | 2 | 400 | 32.3 | NV | |
| 24. Nickel | 4 | 1,600 | 130 | 357 | |
| 25. Selenium | 10 | 400 | 5.2 ^d | 1.04 ^d | |
| 26. Thallium | 5 | 5.60 | 1.59 ^d | 4.46 ^d | |
| 27. Vanadium | 2.5 | 560 | 2,240 | NV | |
| 28. Zinc | 1 | 24,000 | 5,970 | 226 | |

Table 5. 116-F-14 Target Analytes, Analytical Methods, and Contract-Required Detection Limits. (2 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits ^a | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|-----------------------------|--|--|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 29. Chromium (hexavalent) * | 0.5 | 240 | 18.4 | 7.7 | 7. Cr VI 7196 |
| 30. 2-butanone | 0.01 | 48,000 | 19.6 | NV | 8. EPA-8260 (volatile organics) |
| 31. Acetone | 0.02 | 72,000 | 28.9 | NV | |
| 32. Chloroform | 0.005 | 164 | 0.038 | 0.0607 | |
| 33. Methylene chloride | 0.005 | 133 | 0.0218 | 0.0409 | |
| 34. Trichloroethene | 0.005 | 11.2 | 0.00323 ^d | 0.0355 | |
| 35. Xylene | 0.01 | 16,000 | 14.6 | 183 | |
| 36. Heptachlor epoxide | 0.00165 | 0.11 | 0.008 | 0.002 ^d | 9. EPA-8081 (pesticides) |

NOTE: Contaminant of potential concern from CVP-2001-00009.

* Soil target analyte is also a GW COPC.

NOTE: Analytes in *italics* were added GW COPCs.

^a Units are mg/kg (nonradionuclides) and pCi/g (radionuclides) unless otherwise noted. Cleanup levels are established in the most current CLARC Table (updated April 22, 2009) calculated per WAC-173-340 (Ecology 2007) using input parameters stated in the CLARC Table.

^b Where cleanup levels are less than background, cleanup levels default to background as discussed in Sec. 2.1.2.1 of the 100 Area Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan (DOE-RL-96-17).

^c The arsenic cleanup level of 20 mg/kg has been agreed to by the Tri-Party Agreement project managers as discussed in Sec. 2.1.2.1 of the 100 Area Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan (DOE-RL-96-17).

^d Where cleanup levels are less than PQLs, cleanup levels default to PQLs as discussed in Sec. 2.1.2.1 of the 100 Area RDR/RAWP (DOE-RL-96-17).

AEA = alpha energy analysis

ICP = inductively coupled plasma

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

KPA = kinetic phosphorescence analysis

GC = gas chromatograph

PAH = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

GW COPC = groundwater contaminant of potential concern

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

IC = ion chromatography

PQL = practical quantitation limits

NV = No value. The generic RESidual RADioactivity modeling reported in the DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area* predicts the contaminant will not reach groundwater within 1,000 years.

Table 6. 118-F-1 Location Specific Target Analyte Lists. (2 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits ^a | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|---------------------------|--|--|------------------------|-------------------|---|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| Radionuclides | | | | | |
| 1. Uranium-238 | 1 | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | 1. Isotopic - uranium 2. Gamma energy analysis 3. Isotopic-Pu 4. Gas flow proportional counting 5. Liquid scintillation counter |
| 2. Americium-241 | 1 | 31.1 | NV | NV | |
| 3. Silver-108m* | 0.2 | 2.38 | NV | NV | |
| 4. Cesium-137 | 0.1 | 6.2 | NV | NV | |
| 5. Cobalt-60 | 0.05 | 1.4 | NV | NV | |
| 6. Europium-152 | 0.1 | 3.3 | NV | NV | |
| 7. Europium-154 | 0.1 | 3.0 | NV | NV | |
| 8. Plutonium-238 | 1 | 37.4 | NV | NV | |
| 9. Plutonium-239/240 | 1 | 33.9 | NV | NV | |
| 10. Strontium-90* | 1 | 4.5 | NV | NV | |
| 11. Carbon-14* | 2 | 5.16 | NV | NV | |
| 12. Nickel-63* | 30 | 4,026 | NV | NV | |
| 13. Technetium-99 | 0.25 | 5.7 | 0.46 | 0.46 | |
| 14. Tritium* | 10 | 510 | 15.8 | 15.8 | |
| Nonradionuclides | | | | | |
| 15. Fluoride | 5 | 4,800 | 12,000 | 24,000 | 6. Anions by IC 300.0 7. Cr VI 7196 8. EPA 6010 (ICP metal) |
| 16. Nitrate | 2.5 | 128,000 | 40 | 80 | |
| 17. Chromium (hexavalent) | 0.5 | 240 | 18.4 | 7.7 | |
| 18. Arsenic | 10 | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | |
| 19. Barium | 2 | 16,000 | 1,650 | 3,300 | |
| 20. Boron | 2 | 16,000 | 210 | NV | |
| 21. Cadmium | 0.5 | 80 | 0.69 | 0.25 ^d | |
| 22. Chromium (total) | 1 | 120,000 | 2,000 | 2,600 | |
| 23. Copper | 1 | 3,200 | 284 | 1,150 | |
| 24. Lead* | 5 | 250 | 3,000 | 840 | |
| 25. Manganese | 5 | 3,760 | 512 ^c | 512 ^c | |
| 26. Molybdenum | 2 | 400 | 32.3 | NV | |
| 27. Nickel | 4 | 1,600 | 130 | 357 | |
| 28. Vanadium | 2.5 | 560 | 2,240 | NV | |
| 29. Zinc | 1 | 24,000 | 5,970 | 226 | |

Table 6. 118-F-1 Location Specific Target Analyte Lists. (2 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits ^a | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|------------------------|--|--|------------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 30. Mercury | 0.2 | 24 | 2.09 | 0.33 ^b | 9. EPA 7471 (Hg cold vapor) |
| 31. Acetone | 0.02 | 72,000 | 28.9 | NV | 10. EPA-8260 (volatile organics) |
| 32. Chloroform | 0.005 | 164 | 0.038 | 0.0607 | |
| 33. Methylene chloride | 0.005 | 133 | 0.0218 | 0.0409 | |

NOTE: Contaminant of potential concern from CVP-2007-00001.

* Soil target analyte is also a GW COPC.

NOTE: Analytes in *italics* were added groundwater COPCs.

^a Units are mg/kg (nonradionuclides) and pCi/g (radionuclides) unless otherwise noted. Cleanup levels are established in the most current CLARC Table (updated April 22, 2009) calculated per WAC-173-340 (Ecology 2007) using input parameters stated in the CLARC Table.

^b Where cleanup levels are less than background, cleanup levels default to background as discussed in Section 2.1.2.1 of DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area*.

^c The arsenic cleanup level of 20 mg/kg has been agreed to by the Tri-Party Agreement project managers as discussed in Section 2.1.2.1 of DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area*.

^d Where cleanup levels are less than PQLs, cleanup levels default to PQLs as discussed in Section 2.1.2.1 of DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area*. The PQLs will be used for working levels, and will be periodically reviewed to establish if lower detection limit capabilities have become available.

Reference: Ecology, 2007, "Model Toxics Control Act Statute and Regulation," Publication No. 94-06, revised November 2007, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington.

CVP = cleanup verification package

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

GW COPC = groundwater contaminant of potential concern

IC = ion chromatography

ICP = inductively coupled plasma

PQL = practical quantitation limits

WAC = Washington Administrative Code

NV = No value. The generic RESidual RADioactivity modeling reported in the DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area* predicts the contaminant will not reach groundwater within 1,000 years.

Table 7. 118-F-8 Location Specific Target Analyte Lists. (3 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| Radionuclides | | | | | |
| 1. Cesium-137* | 0.1 | 6.2 | NV | NV | 1. Gamma energy analysis |
| 2. Cobalt-60* | 0.05 | 1.4 | NV | NV | |
| 3. Europium-152 | 0.1 | 3.3 | NV | NV | |
| 4. Europium-154* | 0.1 | 3.0 | NV | NV | |
| 5. Europium-155 | 0.1 | 125 | NV | NV | |
| 6. Americium-241* | 1 | 31.1 | NV | NV | |
| 7. Barium-133* | 0.2 | 11.8 | NV | NV | |
| 8. Strontium-90* | 1 | 4.5 | NV | NV | 2. Gas flow proportional counting |
| 9. Plutonium-238 | 1 | 37.4 | NV | NV | 3. Isotopic - plutonium |
| 10. Plutonium-239/240 | 1 | 33.9 | NV | NV | |
| 11. Uranium-233/234 | 1 | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | 4. Isotopic - uranium |
| 12. Uranium-235 | 1 | 0.61 | 0.185 ^d | 0.185 ^d | |
| 13. Uranium-238 | 1 | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | 1.1 ^b | |
| 14. Carbon-14* | 2 | 5.16 | NV | NV | 5. Liquid scintillation counter |
| 15. Nickel-63* | 30 | 4,026 | NV | NV | |
| 16. Technetium-99* | 0.25 | 5.7 | 0.46 | 0.46 | |
| 17. Tritium* | 10 | 510 | 15.8 | 15.8 | |
| Nonradionuclides | | | | | |
| 18. Fluoride | 5 | 4,800 | 12,000 | 24,000 | 6. Anions by IC 300.0 |
| 19. Nitrate | 2.5 | 128,000 | 40 | 80 | |
| 20. Chromium (hexavalent)* | 0.5 | 240 | 18.4 | 7.7 | 7. Cr VI 7196 |

Table 7. 118-F-8 Location Specific Target Analyte Lists. (3 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 21. Antimony | 6 | 32 | 5.4 | 25.3 | 8. EPA 6010 (ICP metal) |
| 22. Arsenic | 10 | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | 20 ^c | |
| 23. Barium* | 2 | 16,000 | 1,650 | 3,300 | |
| 24. Beryllium | 0.5 | 160 | 63.2 | 126 | |
| 25. Cadmium | 0.5 | 80 | 0.69 | 0.25 ^d | |
| 26. Chromium (total) | 1 | 120,000 | 2,000 | 2,600 | |
| 27. Cobalt | 2 | 24 | 15.7 ^d | NV | |
| 28. Copper | 1 | 3,200 | 284 | 1,150 | |
| 29. Lead* | 5 | 250 | 3,000 | 840 | |
| 30. Manganese | 5 | 3,760 | 512 ^c | 512 ^c | |
| 31. Nickel | 4 | 1,600 | 130 | 357 | |
| 32. Selenium* | 10 | 400 | 5.2 ^d | 1.04 ^d | |
| 33. Silver | 1 | 400 | 13.6 | 0.884 | |
| 34. Thallium | 5 | 5.6 | 1.59 | 4.46 | |
| 35. Vanadium | 2.5 | 560 | 2,240 | NV | |
| 36. Zinc | 1 | 24,000 | 5,970 | 226 | |
| 37. Mercury | 0.2 | 24 | 2.09 | 0.33 ^b | 9. EPA 7471 (Hg cold vapor) |
| 38. Aroclor-1016 (PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0942 | 0.000447 ^d | 10. EPA 8082 (PCB by GC) |
| 39. Aroclor-1221(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.00920 ^d | 0.0000437 ^d | |
| 40. Aroclor-1232(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.00920 ^d | 0.0000437 ^d | |
| 41. Aroclor-1242(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0394 | 0.000187 ^d | |
| 42. Aroclor-1248(PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0386 | 0.000183 ^d | |
| 43. Aroclor-1254 (PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.0664 | 0.000315 ^d | |
| 44. Aroclor-1260 (PCB) | 0.017 | 0.5 | 0.721 | 0.00342 ^d | |

Table 7. 118-F-8 Location Specific Target Analyte Lists. (3 Pages)

| Target Analyte | Practical Quantitation Limits | Preliminary Cleanup Goals ^a | | | Analytical Methods |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|--|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | Direct Exposure | Groundwater Protection | River Protection | |
| 45. Acetone | 0.02 | 72,000 | 28.9 | NV | 11. EPA-8260 (volatile organics) |
| 46. Chloroform | 0.005 | 164 | 0.038 | 0.0607 | |
| 47. Methylene chloride | 0.005 | 133 | 0.0218 | 0.0409 | |
| 48. Toluene | 0.005 | NV | 4.65 | 99 | |
| 49. Trichloroethene | 0.005 | 11.2 | 0.003 | 0.090 | |
| 50. Heptachlor epoxide | 0.00165 | 0.11 | 0.008 | 0.002 ^d | 12. EPA-8081 (pesticides) |
| 51. Uranium (total) | 1 | 240 | 3.21 ^b | 3.21 ^b | 13. U-KPA or via isotopic |

NOTE: Contaminants of potential concern from CVP-2007-00004 and CVP-2003-00017.

* Soil target analyte is also a GW COPC.

NOTE: Analytes in *italics* were added groundwater COPCs.

^a Units are mg/kg (nonradionuclides) and pCi/g (radionuclides) unless otherwise noted. Cleanup levels are established in the most current CLARC Table (updated 4/22/2009) calculated per WAC-173-340 (Ecology 2007) using input parameters stated in the CLARC Table.

^b Where cleanup levels are less than background, cleanup levels default to background as discussed in Section 2.1.2.1 of DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area*.

^c The arsenic cleanup level of 20 mg/kg has been agreed to by the Tri-Party Agreement project managers as discussed in Section 2.1.2.1 of DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area*.

^d Where cleanup levels are less than PQLs, cleanup levels default to PQLs as discussed in Section 2.1.2.1 of DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area*. The PQLs will be used for working levels and will be periodically reviewed to establish if lower detection limit capabilities have become available.

Reference: Ecology, 2007, "Model Toxics Control Act Statute and Regulation," Publication No. 94-06, revised November 2007, Washington State Department of Ecology, Olympia, Washington.

AEA = alpha energy analysis

KPA = kinetic phosphorescence analysis

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

PAH = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon

GC = gas chromatograph

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

GW COPC = groundwater contaminant of potential concern

PQL = practical quantitation limits

IC = ion chromatography

ICP = inductively coupled plasma

NV = No value. The generic RESidual RADioactivity modeling reported in the DOE/RL-96-17, *Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the 100 Area* predicts the contaminant will not reach groundwater within 1,000 years.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

This soil target analyte list development approach should be followed to identify target analytes for the other 100 and 300 Area RI/FS work plans and addenda under development.

The analytical methods in Tables 4, 5, 6 and 7, particularly those identified for radionuclides, should be verified and documented in the quality assurance project plan section of the sampling and analysis plan for the 100-F Area. As additional soil data become available, other suitable exclusion criteria should be considered and evaluated for use in the target analyte list development process.

7.0 REFERENCES

The references used in this document are listed in Table 1.

APPENDIX A

100-F AREA SITE-SPECIFIC TARGET ANALYTE MEASLES CHART

Table A-1. Groundwater Measles Chart for the 116-F-14 Waste Site. (2 Pages)

| Analyte Name | Analyte Class | Soil Analytical Methods | Waste Site | Groundwater Wells | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | | 116-F-14 Retention Basins | 199-F5-1 | 199-F5-46 |
| Antimony | Metal | EPA 6010 (ICP metals) | G | Nondetect | Detect |
| Arsenic | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Barium | Metal | | | Detect | Detect |
| Beryllium | Metal | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Boron | Metal | | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Cadmium | Metal | | GX | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Chromium (total) | Metal | | CGX | Detect | Detect |
| Cobalt | Metal | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Copper | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Lead | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Manganese | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Molybdenum | Metal | | | Detect | NA |
| Nickel | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Selenium | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Thallium | Metal | | G | Nondetect | Detect |
| Vanadium | Metal | | | Detect | Detect |
| Zinc | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Chromium (hexavalent) | Metal | Cr VI 7196 | CG | Detect | Detect |
| Heptachlor epoxide | Pesticides | EPA-8081 | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Cesium-137 | RAD | Gamma energy analysis | CX | Detect | Nondetect |
| Cobalt-60 | RAD | | CX | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Europium-152 | RAD | | CX | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Europium-154 | RAD | | CX | Detect | Nondetect |
| Europium-155 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Strontium-90 | RAD | Gas flow proportional counting | CGX | Detect | Detect |
| Plutonium-238 | RAD | Isotopic - plutonium | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Plutonium-239/240 | RAD | | X | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Carbon-14 | RAD | Liquid scintillation counter | C | Nondetect | Detect |
| Nickel-63 | RAD | | C | NA | NA |
| Technetium-99 | RAD | | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Tritium | RAD | | G | Detect | Detect |

Table A-1. Groundwater Measles Chart for the 116-F-14 Waste Site. (2 Pages)

| Analyte Name | Analyte Class | Soil Analytical Methods | Waste Site | Groundwater Wells | |
|----------------------|---------------|------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | | 116-F-14 Retention Basins | 199-F5-1 | 199-F5-46 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | VOC | EPA-8260 (volatile organics) | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| 2-butanone | VOC | | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Acetone | VOC | | | Detect | Detect |
| Carbon tetrachloride | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Chloroform | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Detect |
| Methylene chloride | VOC | | | Detect | Detect |
| Styrene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Tetrachloroethene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Trichloroethene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Detect |
| Vinyl Chloride | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Xylene | VOC | | | Detect | Detect |
| Fluoride | WET CHEM | Anions by IC 300.0 | G | Detect | Detect |
| Nitrate | WET CHEM | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Sulfate | WET CHEM | | G | Detect | Detect |

Footnote: Nondetects represent unfiltered results.

C = identified in cleanup verification package

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

G = identified as a groundwater contaminant of potential concern

IC = ion chromatography

ICP = inductively coupled plasma

NA = analyte was not analyzed in groundwater

RAD = radionuclide

VOC = volatile organic compound

X = Identified as an interim ROD contaminant of concern

Table A-2. Groundwater Measles Chart for the 118-F-1 Waste Site. (2 Pages)

| Analyte Name | Analyte Class | Soil Analytical Methods | Waste Site | Groundwater Wells | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | | 118-F-1 Burial Ground | 199-F8-3 | 199-F8-7 |
| Antimony | Metal | EPA 6010 (ICP metals) | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Arsenic | Metal | | G | Detect | NA |
| Barium | Metal | | | Detect | Detect |
| Beryllium | Metal | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Boron | Metal | | | Detect | NA |
| Cadmium | Metal | | CGX | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Chromium (total) | Metal | | G | Detect | Nondetect |
| Copper | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Lead | Metal | | CGX | Detect | NA |
| Manganese | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Molybdenum | Metal | | | Detect | NA |
| Nickel | Metal | | G | Detect | Nondetect |
| Selenium | Metal | | G | Nondetect | NA |
| Thallium | Metal | | G | Nondetect | NA |
| Vanadium | Metal | | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Zinc | Metal | | G | Detect | Nondetect |
| Chromium (hexavalent) | Metal | Cr VI 7196 | G | Detect | Nondetect |
| Mercury | Metal | EPA 7471 (Hg cold vapor) | CX | Nondetect | NA |
| Cesium-137 | RAD | Gamma energy analysis | CX | Nondetect | NA |
| Cobalt-60 | RAD | | CX | Nondetect | NA |
| Europium-152 | RAD | | CX | Nondetect | NA |
| Europium-154 | RAD | | CX | Nondetect | NA |
| Americium-241 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | NA |
| Silver-108m | RAD | | CX | NA | NA |
| Strontium-90 | RAD | Gas flow proportional counting | CGX | Nondetect | Detect |
| Plutonium-238 | RAD | Isotopic - plutonium | C | Nondetect | NA |
| Plutonium-239/240 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | NA |
| Uranium-238 | RAD | Isotopic -uranium | C | Nondetect | NA |
| Carbon-14 | RAD | Liquid scintillation counter | CX | Detect | NA |
| Nickel-63 | RAD | | CX | NA | NA |
| Technetium-99 | RAD | | | Detect | NA |
| Tritium | RAD | | CGX | Detect | Detect |

Table A-2. Groundwater Measles Chart for the 118-F-1 Waste Site. (2 Pages)

| Analyte Name | Analyte Class | Soil Analytical Methods | Waste Site | Groundwater Wells | |
|----------------------|---------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | | 118-F-1 Burial Ground | 199-F8-3 | 199-F8-7 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | VOC | EPA-8260 (volatile organics) | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Acetone | VOC | | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Chloroform | VOC | | G | Detect | Nondetect |
| Methylene chloride | VOC | | | Detect | Nondetect |
| Styrene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | NA |
| Tetrachloroethene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Trichloroethene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Vinyl Chloride | VOC | | G | NA | NA |
| Fluoride | WET CHEM | Anions by IC 300.0 | G | Detect | Detect |
| Nitrate | WET CHEM | | G | Detect | Detect |
| Sulfate | WET CHEM | | G | Detect | Detect |

Footnote: Nondetects represent unfiltered results.

C = identified in cleanup verification package

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

G = identified as a groundwater contaminant of potential concern

IC = ion chromatography

ICP = inductively coupled plasma

NA = analyte was not analyzed in groundwater

RAD = radionuclide

VOC = volatile organic compound

X = Identified as an interim ROD contaminant of concern

Table A-3. Groundwater Measles Chart for the 118-F-8 Waste Site. (2 Pages)

| Analyte Name | Analyte Class | Soil Analytical Methods | Waste Site | Groundwater Wells | | |
|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | 118-F-8 Reaction Fuel Storage Basin | 199-F8-2 | 199-F5-4 | 199-F5-48 |
| Antimony | Metal | EPA 6010 (ICP Metals) | G | Nondetect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Arsenic | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Barium | Metal | | C | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Beryllium | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Cadmium | Metal | | G | Nondetect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Chromium (total) | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Cobalt | Metal | | | Nondetect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Copper | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Lead | Metal | | CG | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Manganese | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Nickel | Metal | | G | Detect | Nondetect | Detect |
| Selenium | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Silver | Metal | | | Detect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Thallium | Metal | | G | Nondetect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Uranium (total) | Metal | | C | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Vanadium | Metal | | | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Zinc | Metal | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Chromium (hexavalent) | Metal | Cr VI 7196 | CG | NA | NA | NA |
| Mercury | Metal | EPA 7471 (Hg cold vapor) | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Aroclor-1016 (PCB) | PCB | EPA 8082 (PCB by GC) | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Aroclor-1254 (PCB) | PCB | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Aroclor-1260 (PCB) | PCB | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Heptachlor epoxide | Pesticides | EPA-8081 (pesticides) | | Detect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Cesium-137 | RAD | Gamma energy analysis | C | Nondetect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Cobalt-60 | RAD | | C | Detect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Europium-152 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Europium-154 | RAD | | C | Detect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Europium-155 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Americium-241 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Detect |
| Barium-133 | RAD | | C | NA | NA | NA |

Table A-3. Groundwater Measles Chart for the 118-F-8 Waste Site. (2 Pages)

| Analyte Name | Analyte Class | Soil Analytical Methods | Waste Site | Groundwater Wells | | |
|----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | 118-F-8 Reaction Fuel Storage Basin | 199-F8-2 | 199-F5-4 | 199-F5-48 |
| Strontium-90 | RAD | Gas flow proportional counting | CG | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Plutonium-238 | RAD | Isotopic - plutonium | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Plutonium-239/240 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Uranium-233/234 | RAD | Isotopic - uranium | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Uranium-235 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Uranium-238 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Carbon-14 | RAD | Liquid scintillation counter | C | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Nickel-63 | RAD | | C | NA | NA | NA |
| Technetium-99 | RAD | | C | Nondetect | Detect | Detect |
| Tritium | RAD | | CG | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Acetone | VOC | EPA-8260 (volatile organics) | | Nondetect | Detect | Nondetect |
| Carbon Tetrachloride | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Chloroform | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Detect | Detect |
| Methylene chloride | VOC | | | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Styrene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Tetrachloroethene | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Toluene | VOC | | | Detect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Trichloroethene | VOC | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Vinyl Chloride | VOC | | G | Nondetect | Nondetect | Nondetect |
| Fluoride | WET CHEM | Anions by IC 300.0 | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Nitrate | WET CHEM | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |
| Sulfate | WET CHEM | | G | Detect | Detect | Detect |

Footnote: Nondetects represent unfiltered results.

C = identified in cleanup verification package

EPA = U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

G = identified as a groundwater contaminant of potential concern

GC = gas chromatograph

IC = ion chromatography

NA = analyte was not analyzed in groundwater

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

RAD = radionuclide

VOC = volatile organic compound

DISTRIBUTION

U.S. Department of Energy
Richland Operations Office

| | |
|-------------|-------|
| J. Zeisloft | A3-04 |
| G. Sinton | A3-04 |

Washington Closure Hanford

| | |
|-----------------|-------|
| J. A. Lerch | H4-22 |
| K. M. Singleton | H4-22 |

| | |
|----------------------------|-------|
| Document Control | H4-11 |
| DOE-RL Public Reading Room | H2-53 |
| Hanford Technical Library | P8-55 |