A. Title: Final Technical Report for DE-FG02-07ER64374, "Structure and function of

subsurface microbial communities affecting radionuclide transport and bio-immobilization."

B. Publications:

Ribeiro, Fabiana R., José D. Fabris, Joel E. Kostka, Peter Komadel, and Joseph W. Stucki. 2009. Comparisons of structural iron reduction in smectites by bacteria and dithionite: II. A variable-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopic study of Garfield nontronite. *Pure and Applied Chemistry,* **81,** 1499-1509. DOI: 10.1351/PAC-CON-08-11-16

C. Narrative:

Because of its specificity for Fe, Mössbauer spectroscopy is ideally suited to probe changes in the Fe environment during redox reactions. The purpose of this study was to provide comparative information regarding the changes in clay structure that occur due to biotic or abiotic reduction, as probed by variable-temperature Mössbauer spectroscopy.

Structural Fe reduction and reoxidation were accomplished as described by Lee et al. [9], wherein the sample was reduced using either a pure culture of *Shewanella oneidensis* strain MR-1 [21] as described by Kostka et al. [22] or a pH-buffered solution of sodium dithionite [23]. The reduction level with dithionite was decreased to approximately that of the bacteria by restricting the reaction time with dithionite to 10 min. A second set of both bacteria-and dithionite-reduced samples was reoxidized after washing with 5 mM NaCl by bubbling O_2 gas through the reduced suspension for 24 h at room temperature. The actual levels of Fe reduction and reoxidation (i.e., Fe(II) contents) were obtained by chemical analysis using the 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) method [24,25]. Seven different treatments of the Garfield nontronite were examined, namely, the unaltered (UG), partially reduced by bacteria (BRG), partially reduced by dithionite (RG10), fully reduced by dithionite (RG), and reoxidized forms of the three reduced samples (BORG, ROG10, and ROG, respectively). Abbreviations for these samples are the same as given by Lee et al. [9].

The Mössbauer spectrum of smectites is greatly influenced by the oxidation state of the Fe and by its distribution and coordination environment throughout the clay lattice. The Mössbauer spectra were recorded at 77 K and 4 K. At 77 K none of these samples contained a magnetically ordered component (sextet), except for a sextet with 2% relative area in the unaltered sample (UG), which is attributed to a goethite impurity, similar to that observed by Murad [26] in ferruginous smectite. This phase was readily removed by the reduction processes and failed to reappear after reoxidation. The Mössbauer parameters for the fitted curves of the unaltered sample were consistent with only octahedral Fe(III), which was almost equally distributed between an inner and an outer doublet. On the extreme other end of this set was the fully abiotically reduced sample (RG) in which only octahedral Fe(II) was present in the Mössbauer

spectrum (Fe(II)/total Fe confirmed by chemical analysis to be 96% or > 4.0 mmol Fe(II)/g clay) and the fitted subspectra were also almost equally distributed between an inner and an outer doublet. These two samples are regarded as giving representative reference spectra for either a fully oxidized or a fully reduced structural Fe-rich nontronite, respectively.

Reoxidation of sample RG, giving sample ROG, restored much of the Fe(III) (Fe(II)/total Fe ~90 %), but even though the spectrum could be fitted with an inner and an outer doublet, the splittings were much greater than those found in the unaltered sample (UG). The electric field environment surrounding the Fe is, therefore, assumed to be more distorted in the reoxidized nontronite than in the unaltered nontronite. This phenomenon has also been reported by others [27, 28] for lower levels of reduction. The Fe(II) remaining in the RG sample after reoxidation was characterized by only one doublet with a quadrupole splitting between those of the two doublets used to fit the spectrum. These results indicate that reoxidation failed to restore the abiotically fully reduced clay structure to its unaltered state. This structural perturbation is probably due to the irreversibility of Fe migrating from *cis-* to *trans-*ocathedral sites during structural Fe reduction [29]. The extent of these distortions is revealed by the greater splitting in the Mössbauer spectrum.

Partial reduction of Garfield nontronite (RG10) created a structure with a mixture of Fe(II) and Fe(III) in a ratio of about 1:4 (Fe(II)/total Fe = 23%, ~1.0 mmol Fe(II)/g clay). The Mössbauer spectrum at 77 K was fitted with four distinguishable sub-spectra: two for Fe(III) and two for Fe(II), distributed between inner and outer doublets. The splitting of both inner and outer Fe(III) doublets were greater than those found in the unaltered sample and intensities of the inner and outer Fe(II) doublets were smaller than those found in sample RG.

Biotic reduction of Garfield (BRG) also created a mixed-valent structure, with a Fe(II)/total Fe ratio of 17% (~0.75 mmol Fe(II)/g clay), which is slightly less than the corresponding abiotic sample (RG10). At 77 K the parameters for the inner and outer Fe(III) doublets resembled those of the unaltered sample. Only one Fe(II) doublet was resolved, however, and its quadrupole splitting was greater than either of those found in sample RG10, but was similar to that of the inner Fe(II) component found in sample RG. In both of the reducing processes, biotic and abiotic, more Fe(III) from the environment represented by the outer doublet was reduced than from the inner one.

The Mössbauer spectra and hyperfine parameters for reoxidized samples ROG10 and BORG resembled those of the unaltered sample (UG). Even the initial inner/outer doublet ratio was restored. In contrast, the Mössbauer spectrum of the fully reduced, reoxidized sample (ROG) was highly distorted and bore little similarity to the spectrum of the unaltered sample (UG). These observations led to the same conclusion as Lee et al. [9], as first postulated by Gates et al. [30], that reoxidation largely restores the clay structure to its original state, provided the initial level of reduction is modest (< 1 mmol Fe(II)/g clay). They are also consistent with the results of Komadel et al. [31], who revealed by infrared spectroscopy and thermogravimetry that the structural OH content in abiotically highly reduced and reoxidized samples is about 15-20% less than in the original nontronites, and of Fialips et al. [10, 11], who showed by FTIR significant structural alterations in reoxidized samples after high levels of reduction (> 1 mmol Fe(II)/g clay).

Manceau et al. [29] studied the structure of reduced Garfield nontronite by several physico-chemical methods. They suggested that some of the Fe atoms migrate from *cis-* to *trans*-sites in the reduced state, forming trioctahedral domains, separated by clusters of vacancies, within the structure of reduced nontronite. Migration of Fe from *cis* to *trans* sites during the reduction process was corroborated by simulations of X-ray diffraction patterns, which revealed that about 28% of Fe(II) exists in *trans* sites of the reduced nontronite, rather than fully *cis* occupied, as in oxidized nontronite. This migration is accompanied by a dehydroxylation reaction due either to the protonation of OH groups initially coordinated to Fe or and/or the coalescence of adjacent hydroxyls to form H_2O as suggested by Stucki et al. [32] and Lear and Stucki [33]. This is in accord with the lower content of OH groups in reduced samples which is not restored after reoxidation [31].

The Mössbauer spectra of the same set of samples at 4 K revealed extensive differences from the 77 K spectra because of the onset of magnetic order. These differences were least in the unaltered sample for which the spectra at 77 K and 4 K still consisted of a central doublet and a small magnetically ordered phase, except in the 4 K spectrum the central doublet was broadened, probably indicating the beginning of magnetic order among the Fe(III) ions in the nontronite octahedral sheet. The similarity of the small magnetically ordered component in the unaltered sample at 77 K and 4 K clearly demonstrated that the Fe oxide impurity is not superparamagnetic and is assigned to goethite.

The fully abiotically reduced sample yielded a very unique spectrum which appeared to be magnetically ordered, but the pattern was unlike any other reported in the literature, with the overall features of the spectrum containing four broad peaks of different intensities. A theoretical model to fit the deconvolution of this spectrum has yet to be found but is the subject of on-going further investigations. Because 96% of the Fe in this sample is Fe(II), the unfitted spectrum was adopted as the reference spectrum depicting an all-Fe(II) octahedral structure in nontronite at 4 K, even though the spectrum has yet to be fully interpreted.

Partial reduction by biotic and abiotic methods reduced the nontronite to about the same Fe(II) content (17 % and 23 %, respectively), but the respective Mössbauer spectra were dramatically different at 4 K, even though they were similar at 77 K. After abiotic reduction the spectrum still exhibited a prominent central doublet for Fe(III), but it was greatly flared at the base. A feature for a Fe(II) doublet was also visible at about 2.5 mm/s. Appearing out of the background of these Fe(III) and Fe(II) components was a sextet or sextets that produced broad but visible features at -7.5, -4.5, +5, and +8.5 mm/s. The distance between the outer peaks of this sextet gave an estimated magnetic hyperfine field of 44.5 T.

The spectrum from the biotically reduced sample (BRG) clearly differed from that of the partially abiotically reduced sample (RG10). Instead of having distinct features for Fe(II) and Fe(III), it consisted of a set of peaks that clearly were similar to those observed in the spectrum for the totally abiotically reduced sample (RG), with one or more Fe(III) sextets superimposed. The estimated hyperfine field of the sextet was 47.1 T, which is characteristic of magnetically ordered octahedral Fe(III) in mixed-valent layer silicates [15, 16, 34]. This combination of peaks is interpreted here to indicate that the octahedral Fe is segregated into two domains; one that is

primarily Fe(II), which is responsible for the set of all-Fe(II) peaks, and one that is primarily Fe(III), which accounts for the sextet(s). This follows the same reasoning as stated by Ballet and Coey [34] that,"Magnetic ordering in iron-rich [layer-silicate] specimens at low temperatures results in spectra in which magnetic hyperfine structure from both the Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} components is resolved."

The exact pathway by which the electron enters the clay mineral structure to effect Fe reduction is still unknown, but results reported here give insight into the resulting distribution of Fe(II) following reduction and provide the basis for a more informed hypothesis. Lear and Stucki [35] reported that the Fe(II) distribution created by dithionite reduction is "pseudo random, with nearest neighbor exclusion," meaning that the Fe(II) ions produced in the structure during partial reduction tend to be as far removed from each other as possible, thus creating Fe(II) ions surrounded by a Fe(III) matrix. This produces Fe(II)-Fe(III) rather than Fe(II)-Fe(II) pairs as the dominant Fe(II) domain type within the partially reduced structure. This was confirmed by UV-Visible spectroscopy, which monitored the Fe(II)-O-Fe(III) intervalence electron transfer transition [35, 36] and revealed that the number of Fe(II)-Fe(III) pairs increased almost linearly with Fe(II) content until a level of about 40 % to 50% reduction, then the intensity of this band decreased non-linearly and disappeared upon complete reduction. Upon reoxidation, the intensity again increased to a maximum (presumably at about 50% reoxidation), then decreased as oxidation neared completion. They reasoned that this scenario is evidence that the reduction is initiated at the basal surfaces of the clay layers rather than at the edges because the path length to Fe ions in the center of the layer is much shorter from the basal plane than from the edge of the layer.

The Mössbauer spectra for the case of partial abiotic reduction are consistent with these arguments and previous studies. While no clear evidence for a magnetically ordered Fe(II) domain was observed, some Fe(III) magnetic order was evident (broad features at -7.5, -4.5, +5, and +8.5 mm/s). The appearance of some magnetic order for Fe(III) under conditions of partial reduction was also observed by Lear and Stucki [37] and Schuette et al. [38]. Evidently, the introduction of Fe(II) into the structure causes a shift in the magnetic exchange interactions within the lattice from frustrated anti-ferromagnetic to ferromagnetic.

The presence of larger, distinct domains of Fe(II) and Fe(III) within the clay after biotic reduction strongly suggests a different reduction pathway from the case of abiotic reduction discussed above. Instead of following the "pseudo random" model, biotic reduction follows a moving front model as suggested by Komadel et al. [39] in which Fe(II) is created initially at the edges of the clay layer, forming a thin Fe(II) domain around the edge with Fe(II)-Fe(III) pairs occurring only at the interface between the Fe(II) and Fe(III) domains. As the level of reduction increases, the interface between the Fe(II) and Fe(III) domains advances inward toward the center of the clay layer, causing the Fe(II) domain to grow and the Fe(III) domain to shrink. The features in the Mössbauer spectra revealing distinctly separate Fe(II) and Fe(III) domains are consistent with this scenario. This means that the point of contact between the clay and the bacterial cell or its electron shuttle is at the clay edges, not the basal surfaces.
Further evidence supporting the edge-contact model for biotic reduction is found in the fact that studies of bacterial Fe reduction in smectites have yet to achieve complete reduction of the structural Fe [2]. This result seems reasonable if reduction occurs from the clay edges, with the

moving Fe(II)-Fe(III) boundary as described above. The total potential required to transport the electron the full distance from the layer's edge to its center could be greater than provided by the bacterial reduction potential. This limitation would appear to be less if reduction were occurring from the basal surface. By these arguments, one is also led to state that dithionite reduction either occurs from the basal surfaces or the electrons produced by dithionite possess sufficient energy to create a conduction pathway from the edge to the center of the layer whereby the electron transfer to Fe can be induced at the nethermost points from the edges.

Reoxidation of the biotic and abiotic partially reduced samples yielded virtually the same Mössbauer spectra and parameters at 77 K and 4 K. They also are similar to the unaltered sample. The parameter values for the outer quadrupole splitting in the abiotically reduced-reoxidized sample were slightly greater than those from the biotically reduced-reoxidized sample, at both temperatures, and also were greater than the parameters from the unaltered sample at the same temperatures. These differences could be due to variations in instrument performance between samples and/or to differences in sample preparation, but may also represent slight changes in the structure during the reduction and reoxidation reactions. The Mössbauer spectrum of fully abiotically reduced-reoxidized Garfield at 4 K exhibited extensive magnetic ordering of its components, but still contained a small amount (7%) of residual Fe(II). The isomer shift attributed to this Fe(II) is very low (0.52 mm/s) compared to the value of 1.15 mm/s at 77 K. This may mean that, simultaneous with the magnetic ordering, an electron delocalization occurs, spanning the entire crystallographic structure, which increases the ferric character of the high-spin ferrous iron.

The mean magnetic hyperfine field is about 44.2 T, which is close to that observed in the abiotically partially reduced sample at the same temperature (44.5 T). This similarity in the magnetic field may be confirmation of the suggestions by Lear and Stucki [35] and Shuette et al. [36] that the presence of even a small amount of Fe(II) into the structure changes the type of magnetic exchange interaction dramatically. Neither the field nor the shape of this spectrum resembles those of a Fe(III) (oxhydr)oxide, the presence of which in the abiotically reduced-reoxidized sample is improbable because reduction with sodium dithionite is well known for its ability to remove Fe oxides from soils and clays [40]. That this Fe is internal to the nontronite was confirmed by a further experiment (data not shown) in which the sample that was fully reduced, then reoxidized, was reduced again completely and reoxidized. The Mössbauer spectra obtained from these further reduction and reoxidation treatments gave the same patterns as those from the first reduction-reoxidation cycle. Therefore, even though the complete reduction-reoxidation process appears to be irreversible with respect to the structure, the irreversibility clearly is not the result of formation of an external Fe (oxyhydr)oxide phase.

D. Conferences and Symposia

Stucki, Joseph W. 2009. Redox biogeochemistry of iron in phyllosilicates – an overview. Symposium on Redox Biogeochemistry of Phyllosilicate Minerals, 2009 Annual Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Salt Lake City, Utah, March 22-26, 2009.

Ribeiro, Fabiana R., Joel E. Kostka, and Joseph W. Stucki. 2009. Biotic and Abiotic Reduction of Iron in Smectite: Comparisons of the Effects on Clay Structure and Environmental Chemistry. Symposium on Redox Biogeochemistry of Phyllosilicate Minerals, 2009 Annual Meeting of the American Chemical Society, Salt Lake City, Utah, March 22-26, 2009.

Day, Zachary B. and Joseph W. Stucki. 2009. Abiotic nitrate reduction by redox-activated Fe-bearing smectites. Symposium on Iron in Clay Minerals (held in honor of Joseph W. Stucki), 2009 Annual Meeting of The Clay Minerals Society, Billings, Montana, June 7, 2009.

Stucki, Joseph W. 2009. Iron redox processes in smectites. Lecture given on the occasion of receiving the 2009 Marilyn and Sturges W. Bailey Distinguished Member Award at the 2009 Annual Meeting of The Clay Minerals Society, Billings, Montana, June 8, 2009.

Stucki, Joseph W. 2009. The effects of iron redox cycles on smectite properties. Symposium on Environmental Mineralogy, French Academy of Sciences, Paris, France, September 14-15, 2009.

Stucki, Joseph W. 2009. Evolution of the study of redox reactions of iron in smectites. 2009 Annual Meeting of the Clay Minerals Group of the Mineralogical Society of Great Britain and Ireland, given as the 10th George Brown Lecture, December 14, 2009.

E. Other PI Activities

Participated as an examining committee member for the Ph.D. Dissertation defense by Marcio C. Pereiro, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil, March 5, 2009.

Participated as a member of the scientific conference advisory committee of ANDRA (the French Agency for Radioactive Waste Management), Chatenay-de-Malabry, France, October 15-16, 2009.

F. References Cited

1.   J. W. Stucki. In *Handbook of Clay Science* (F. Bergaya, B. K. G. Theng, G. Lagaly, ed.), pp. 429-482. Elsevier, Amsterdam (2006).
2.   J. W. Stucki, J. E. Kostka. *C. R. Geosci.* **338,** 468-475 (2006).
3.   D. P. Jaisi, S. Ji, H. Dong, R. E. Blake, D. D. Eberl, J. W. Kim. *Clays Clay Miner.* **56,** 416-428 (2008).
4.   D. P. Jaisi, H. Dong, J. P. Morton. *Clays Clay Miner.* **56,** 175-189 (2008).
5.   G. Zhang, J. W. Kim, H. Dong, A. J. Sommer. *Am. Mineral.* **92,** 1401-1410 (2007)
6.   J. W. Stucki. In *Iron in Soils and Clay Minerals* (J. W. Stucki, B. A. Goodman, U. Schwertmann, ed.), pp. 625-675. D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1988).
7.   D. M. Akob, H. J. Mills, T. M. Gihring, L. Kerkhof, J. W. Stucki, K-J. Chin, K. Kuesel, A. V. Palumbo, D. B. Watson, and J. E. Kostka. *Appl. Environ. Microbiology* **74,** 3159-3170 (2008).
8.   J. W. Stucki, K. Lee, B. A. Goodman, and J. E. Kostka. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **71,** 835-843 (2007).
9.   K. Lee, J. E. Kostka, J. W. Stucki. *Clays Clay Miner.* **54,** 197-208 (2006).
10.   C.-I. Fialips, D. Huo, L. Yan, J. Wu, J. W. Stucki. *Clays Clay Miner.*, **50,** 455–469 (2002).
11.   C.-I. Fialips, D. Huo, L. Yan, J. Wu, J. W. Stucki. *Am. Mineral.*, **87,** 630–641 (2002).
12.   H. Dong, J. E. Kostka, J. Kim. *Clays Clay Miner.* **51,** 502–512 (2003).
13.   H. Dong, R. K. Kukkadapu, J. K., Frederickson, J. M. Zachara, D. W. Kennedy, H. M. Kostandarithes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **37,** 1268–1276 (2003).
14.   J. W. Kim, Y. Furukawa, T. E. Daulton, D. Lavoie, S. W. Newell. *Clays Clay Miner.* **51,** 382–389 (2003).
15.   J. M. D. Coey. In *Mössbauer spectroscopy applied to inorganic chemistry, Volume 1* (G. J. Long, ed.), p. 443-509. Plenum Press, New York (1984).
16.   J. M. D. Coey. In *Iron in Soils and Clay Minerals* (J. W. Stucki, B. A. Goodman, U. Schwertmann, ed.), pp. 397-466. D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1988).
17.   B. A. Goodman, J. D. Russell, A. R. Fraser, F. W. D. Woodhams. *Clays Clay Miner.* **24,** 53-59 (1976).
18.   B. A. Goodman, In *Advanced Chemical Methods for Soil and Clay Mineral Research* (J. W. Stucki, W. L. Banwart, ed.), pp. 1-92. D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1980).
19.   E. Murad. In *Iron in Soils and Clay Minerals* (J. W. Stucki, B. A. Goodman, U. Schwertmann, ed.), pp. 309-350. D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1988).
20.   J. W. Stucki, K. Lee, B. A. Goodman, J. E. Kostka, J.E. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **71,** 835-843 (2007).
21.   K. H. Nealson, D. Saffarini. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **48,** 311–343 (1994).
22.   J. E. Kostka, J. W. Stucki, K. H. Nealson, J. Wu. *Clays Clay Miner.* **44,** 522–529 (1996).
23.   J. W. Stucki, D. C. Golden, C. B. Roth. *Clays Clay Miner.* **32,** 191–197 (1984).
24.   P. Komadel, J.W. Stucki. *Clays Clay Miner.* **36,** 379-381 (1988).
25.   A. S. Anastácio, B. Harris, H.-I. Yoo, J. D. Fabris, J. W. Stucki. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **72,** 5001-5008 (2008).
26.   E. Murad. *Z. Pflanzen. Boden.* **150,** 279–285 (1987).
27.   J. D. Russell, B. A. Goodman, A. R. Fraser. *Clays Clay Miner.* **27,** 63-71 (1979).

28.   J. W. Stucki, P. R. Lear. In *Structures and Active Sites of Minerals* (L. M. Coyne, D. Blake, S. McKeever, ed.), pp. 330-358. American Chemical Society, Washington, D. C. (1989).
29.   A. Manceau, V. A. Drits, B. Lanson, G. Chateigner, J. Wu, D. Huo, W. P. Gates, J. W. Stucki. *Am. Mineral.* **85,** 153–172 (2000).
30.   W. P. Gates, H. T. Wilkinson, J. W. Stucki. *Clays and Clay Minerals* **41,** 360-364 (1993).
31.   P. Komadel, J. Madejová, J. W. Stucki, J.W. *Clays Clay Miner.* **43,** 105–110 (1995).
32.   J. W. Stucki and C. B. Roth. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* **41,** 808-814 (1977).
33.   P. R. Lear and J. W. Stucki. *Clays and Clay Minerals* **33,** 539-545 (1985).
34.   O. Ballet, J. M. D. Coey. *Phys. Chem. Miner.* **8,** 218–29 (1982).
35.   P. R. Lear, J. W. Stucki. *Clays Clay Miner.* **35,** 373–378 (1987).
36.   P. R. Lear, J. W. Stucki. *Clay Miner.* **25,** 3–13 (1990).
37.   P. Komadel, P. R. Lear, J. W. Stucki. *Clays Clay Miner.* **38,** 203–208 (1990).
38.   R. Schuette, B. A. Goodman, J. W. Stucki. *Phys.Chem. Miner.* **27,** 251-257 (2000).
39.   P. Komadel, J. Madejová, J. W. Stucki. 2006. *Appl. Clay Sci.* **34,** 88–94 (2006).
40.   O. P. Mehra, M. L. Jackson. *Clays Clay Miner.* **7,** 317-327 (1958).
.